

ISSN 0022 - 3972

Journal of
Psychological Researches

**Volume No.42 No.1 & 2
January & May 1998**

THE MADRAS PSYCHOLOGY SOCIETYTM
DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF MADRAS
CHENNAI - 600 005
INDIA.

Instruction to Author(s)

1. Length of the articles should not exceed 7 to 10 typed pages.
 2. Articles should be type written on single A4 size paper only.
 3. All type written matters should be on one side of the page only with double spacing and wide margin.
 4. The article must be preceded by Abstract of 15 to 20 typed lines.
 5. Ensure the articles has titles, foot-notes, literature, citation, symbols, formulas and equations. All these must be written clearly with great care.
 6. Too many of lengthy tables, graphs, reference etc., should be avoided.
 7. Each table should be typed on a separate sheet with its proper marked in the text in pencil.
 8. When statistical analyses such as ANOVA or Chi-square are used appropriate table should accompany the manuscript. When significant differences are reported the appropriate F, FD, and other values, should be inserted in table.
 9. Drawing should be on white board in Indian Ink.
 10. If illustrations are too many, it should be grouped together so that they may be reproduced in single unit.
 11. Photographs should be in glossy prints and with strong contrasts. It should be in the exact size in which it is desired to have them reproduced.
 12. Full page drawings and photographs should be in a maximum size of 8" x 5".
 13. Whenever blocks has to be prepared, the cost will have to be borne by the authors.
 14. Two type written copies of the article should be sent (Xerox copies are accepted provided the copy is clear and legible) with a running head.
- Literature Citations :** All reference literature cited in the text should be presented together at the end of the paper in alphabetical order of Author(s) names.
- (a) Each reference should be given in the standard form as follows :
- Names(s) of author followed by initials(s), year of publication in brackets, full title of the paper, names of the journal in full underlined to indicate italics, volume number in Arabic numerals underlined with two lines to indicate bold type and page number without prefix P.
- For Example :** Freedom, J.K. (1971). The effect of crowding as human task performance. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1, 725.
- (b) When books are mentioned in the reference, the order should be :
- Name of (author(s), initial(s), year of publication in brackets, the title of the book which should be underlined, volume number, edition, page, followed by the place of publication and name of the publisher.
- For example :** Brown J. (1977) Mind, Brain and consciousness New York : Academic press.
- Where reference has not been in the original, it should be indicated by an asterick, and the name of the abstracting Journal or other source be mentioned in brackets. If the title is in language other than English, the diacritic signs etc., should be precisely given as in the original.
- Articles not prepared according to the Instruction to Author(s) will not be considered for publication.
- (c) **Conditions for Publication :**
1. Articles should be accompanied by a Declaration the material is original, has not been published elsewhere and has not been submitted for publication in any other journal.
 2. Authors are requested to send a Demand Draft of Rs. 150/- in favour of The Madras Psychology Society, after being informed of the acceptance of paper, for publication.
 3. Articles should be sent by registered post with Acknowledgement due to the Editor, Journal of Psychological Department of Psychology, University of Madras, Chennai - 600 005. India.

QUALITY OF LIFE AMONG A METROPOLIS POPULATION - A PRELIMINARY STUDY

Latha * and S.Karthikeyan**

ABSTRACT

Quality of Life is an important outcome variable in the health research and health services. Health expectancy in terms of higher quality of life is more important than life expectancy. In light of the above rationale, a survey on the quality of life of a cross section of urban sample (N=200) was obtained. The data was analyzed within the frame work of quality of life among people in relation to age, gender, occupational status, clinical status and health habits using factor analysis. The tool as a means to understand and assess the positive functioning in an individual is discussed. Discussion focuses on the preventive aspects in health psychology, using quality of life as an achievable goal.

INTRODUCTION

The message from Director General of WHO 1997 states that "Increased Longevity without Quality of Life is an empty prize. Health expectancy is more important than life expectancy". Quality of life (QOL) is now widely discussed as an important outcome variable in health care. Traditional research orientation to Quality of Life is chiefly concerned with the disease process among elderly patients, assessing the impact of therapeutic intervention. Often the concept of subjective well being and life satisfaction are used as indicators of better Quality of life.

Clinicians have tried to evaluate the Qual-

ity of life of patients who have varied disabling conditions, such as Cardio-vascular disease, Multiple Sclerosis, Cancer, AIDS & other neurological diseases, (Greena & Stepne; Stewart et.al; Ware, and Lutgendorf et al.; 1994). Most of the measures concerning Quality of life assesses the physical and psychological well being in various disease conditions.

This concept of Quality encompasses the psychological functional status, access to social and material resources, opportunities and a sense of well being. In addition to the biomedical criteria, the concept of self-esteem, self-worth, feelings of security etc., also provide a useful perspective on value of health promoting measures. Assessing Quality of Life is essential not only for clinical groups but also to people in general.

The measurement of quality of life is a positive orientation towards individuals health and well being. It is not merely a means to assess the therapeutic effectiveness but also gives an index of positive functioning status in a person. The present study attempts to assess the "Quality of Life", an index of well being, among general population. Quality of Life of people in relation to the demographic characteristics, health status and specific psychological characteristics are also studied in order to understand their influence.

METHOD OF INVESTIGATION

Random sample of subjects, (N=264) from different occupations were selected. The

* Research Scientist Department of Psychology, University of Madras, Chennai - 600 005.

** Consultant Psychologist International Society for Effective Living, 48, 8th West Cross St., Chennai - 600 030.

age of the sample was confined to 30 to 60 years. Both males and females were included.

Tools

The Quality of Life Index (QOLI) was developed as a diagnostic and motivational tool by Eliot (1995). The 40 items in the index represents various aspects of one's life style, where the respondent required to rank on a scale, 1 to 7, their perception of activities and situations in life. This tool is designed to evaluate one's own quality of life, to assess the stres-

sors and strengths. It is not designed to compare between groups. It is meant to map the areas in one's life which needs to be improved, adjusted or strengthened. A higher value on the scale (i.e., 7) represents that particular activity is least stressful and can be taken as a strength in the life. The scale which has been developed for western population is being tested on the Indian sample.

SAMPLE CHARACTERISTICS

The questionnaire was administered on a cross section of sample and completed response

Table - 1 Showing the mean and SD of Age and Income

Variabale	Mean	SD
Age	38.13	9.87
Income	7.23*	5.97

* Rs. 7000/month

Table - 2 Showing the characteristics of the sample (n=264)

	Variable	Frequency
Sex	Male	124
	Female	140
Occupational representation	Retired / Unemployed	22
	Officer Grade	126
	Executives	94
	self Employed	22
Marital Status	Married	217
	Unmarried	46
	Widow	1
Age wise distribution	20 to 30 years	52
	31 to 40 years	122
	40 to 50 years	64
	51 and above	26

sheets were scored. The data was subjected to descriptive and factor analysis.

Mean age of the sample was 38.13 years \pm 9.87 and majority were represented by the middle income groups. The men and women were equally represented (47% and 53% respectively) 90% of the sample were employed. Only 8% were house wives & retired. The occupational characteristics were mainly represented from middle management (52%), executives (38%) and others. Ten percent of the subjects were self employed 83% of the sample were married.

RELIABILITY ANALYSIS

The internal consistency of the tool was analyzed. The Alpha coefficient was .74, Standardized item Alpha was .9385. The item total correlation was high for all the items which

ranged from 0.4237 to 0.7632. Hence the tool was found to be internally consistent and reliable.

The mean Quality of life Index for the sample was 5.03. The 25th percentile cut off score was on a raw score of 4.35 and 75th percentile was at 5.55. Thus the concentration of the score is strong at the middle. This reflects the homogeneity in the life style of adult sample of the study. The peak strength of the group is in the Social Support i.e., a strong primary relation in the family, work place and a harmonious neighborhood relation.

The lowest point in the profile represents the control over habits such as addiction to alcohol and caffinated drinks. Thus, control over health related habits can be an area which needs improvement among group. The profile is positively skewed thus shows on an average a better

Table - 3 Showing mean and SD for sex, age, occupational level, marital status and income level on the scores of Quality of life

	Variable	Mean	SD
Sex	Male	199.72	41.61
	Female	187.77	40.77
Age	20 - 30 years	190.86	32.72
	31 - 40 years	192.09	41.27
	41 - 50 years	193.95	49.47
	51 and above	203.11	37.71
Marital Status	Married	194.83	43.07
	Un - married	186.34	33.29
	Widow	204.00	0
Occupational Level	Retired / Unemployed	200.18	35.36
	Officer Grade	186.73	42.25
	Executives	198.23	41.31
	Self Employed	204.00	40.30

Table - 4 Showing analysis of variance on the variables sex, age, occupational level, marital status and income level on the scores of Quality of life.

Variable	Source	Sum of Squares	D. F	Mean Square	F
Sex	Between	9386.00	1	9386.00	5.5368**
	Within	444142.81	262	1695.20	
Age	Between	3014.42	3	1004.80	0.5799 NS
	Within	450514.39	260	1732.74	
Occupation	Between	11272.33	3	3757.44	2.2090 NS
	Within	442256.48	260	1700.98	
Marital Status	Between	2846.35	2	1423.17	0.8242 NS
	Within	450682.46	261	1726.75	
Income	Between	57423.90	23	2496.69	1.5127 NS
	Within	396104.90	240	1650.43	

Quality of Life. Typically this being a non-clinical group showed on an average better quality of life.

The statistical analysis revealed a significant difference among the genders. Men were found to have a better Quality of Life Index than females. The occupational status, Income level, age were not found to be influencing the Quality of Life Index. As the group was highly homogeneous with respect to Occupational Status and Age, much variations could not be observed.

FACTOR ANALYSIS

The data was subjected to Principle Component Analysis technique, which resulted in 10 factors and those factors were subjected to varimax rotation. As an initial step of factor analysis, the item correlation was carried out. All the correlations were positive ranging from

.60 to .70. Factor loadings greater than ± 0.5 was considered as highly significant.

Communality is a measure of the general variance among each factor i.e., it is the item-item variance. This is otherwise known as common factor variance. The eigen values obtained for 10 factors ranged between 12.69 to 0.89. The higher the eigen value higher is the purity of the factor. Any value above one is considered to be significant. 10 factors which had eigen values above one were subjected to varimax rotation. All these 10 factors have contributed to the 60% of variance to the total variance. The first factor contributed 31% of this variance. Whereas others contributed a meagre 4 to 2.5% only.

Factor-1 had 7 items with significant loadings on - sense of values, self-esteem, assertiveness, guilt, optimism, decision and control. Most of these variables revealed

Table - 5 representing communality, eigen value and variance of factors

Communality	Factor	Eigen value	Pct of variance	Cum Pct
.61058	1	12.69092	31.0	31.0
.56360	2	1.93977	4.7	35.7
.63165	3	1.66465	4.1	39.7
.59388	4	1.52988	3.7	43.5
.63122	5	1.29458	3.2	46.6
.51541	6	1.25456	3.1	49.7
.59219	7	1.22087	3.0	52.7
.57943	8	1.18750	2.9	55.6
.62009	9	1.07636	2.6	58.2
.58801	10	1.02371	2.5	60.7

positive psychological traits or resources which enhances the quality of psychological life. Hence the name Psychological Well-being was given to this factor.

Factor-2 had 4 items with strong loadings on relationships. Primary and secondary relationship, religious affiliation along with tobacco consumption were found to have high loadings over this factor. Hence this factor was named as Interpersonal Quality of Life.

Factor-3 had 5 items, pertaining to work-related aspects, with high factor loadings. The items such as nature of work, frequency of travel, work environment, career plans etc, were related to work. Hence the factor was named as Work related Quality of Life.

An individual's exercise pattern, style of adaptability & flexibility, and expression of anger were found to have a significant high loadings on factor-4. These items depicted an

individuals adaptiveness to his environment. Hence factor-4 was named as Adaptive Capacity.

Under factor-5, there were four items having high factor loadings. They were hobbies of an individual, mode of relaxation & meditation, humour and exercise. These activities generally enhances and significantly contributes towards mental and physical well being. Therefore hobbies, relaxation, meditation, exercise, humour etc., were considered as positive health habits enhancing physical and mental health. Hence factor-5 was named as positive Health Habits.

Two items, pertaining to negative health habit, namely, alcohol consumption and tobacco consumption, were found to have a significant and strong loadings on factor-6. Hence factor-6 was named as Negative Health Habits.

Table - 6 FACTOR TABLE

Factor 1		Factor 2	
Items	Loading	Items	Loadings
Value	.69	Relationship -	
Self-esteem	.53	Children	.58
Assertiveness	.60	Tobacco	.59
Guilt	.65	Relationship	.61
Optimism	.56	Religion	.61
Decision	.68	Name :- INTERPERSONAL QOL	
Control	.57		
Name :- PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL - BEING			
Factor 3		Factor 4	
Items	Loadings	Items	Loadings
Work	.54	Exercise	.60
Neighbourhood	.51	Adaptability &	
Travel	.61	Flexibility	.63
Work Env't.	.55	Anger	.68
Career & Job	.58	Name :- ADAPTIVE CAPACITY	
Name :- WORK RELATED QOL			
Factor 5		Factor 6	
Items	Loadings	Items	Loadings
Hobbies	.53	Alcohol	.85
Relaxation & Meditation	.45	Tobacco	.81
Humour	.44	Name :- NEGATIVE HEALTH HABITS	
Exercise	.65		
Name :- POSITIVE HEALTH HABITS			
Factor 7		Factor 8	
Items	Loadings	Items	Loadings
Health	.59	Planning	.67
Sleep	.57	Achievement	.61
Body Wt.	.63	Finace	.42
Name :- HEALTH CONCERN		Name :- ACHIEVEMENT & EXPECTATION	

Latha and S.Karthikeyan

Factor-7 had three items with high factor loadings. These three items depicted the amount of concern an individual has over one's health. The items were health conscious, sleep, and body weight. Hence factor-7 was named as Health Concern.

Factor-8 had three items pertaining to planning, achievement and finance with high factor loadings. These items enabled an individual to derive satisfaction in life. Hence factor-8 was named as Achievement & Expectations.

Conclusion

The quality of life index has revealed eight significant factors related to different spheres of individuals' life. They are - psychological well-being, interpersonal relations, work quality, adaptive capacity, positive health habits, negative health habits, health concerns, and achievement & expectations. Subjecting the data to further factor analysis can reveal the relationship within these constructs. Men were found to reveal a better index of quality of life than women. Homogeneity of the sample could not reveal the role of demographic factors on Quality of life index which could be undertaken in future studies.

The factor loadings of the tool reveals that, it could be a handy assessment method to pinpoint the major areas where intervention is required and also to form a suitable health promotive measures for those specific areas.

REFERENCE

- Eliot. S.R. (1995). 'From Stress to Strength' Bantam Books, New York. The World Health Report 1997.
- Greena. A., Stepne.A. (1994). A stress management in Cardiac patient, a preliminary study of the predictor of improvement in quality of life. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, May; 38(4); 267-80.
- Lutgendrof.S., Antoni.M.H., Schreiderman.N., Fletcher.M.A. (1994) Psychological Counselling to Improve Quality of Life in HIV Infection Patient Education, and Counselling, Dec;24 (3); 217-35.
- Stewart. A.L., Hays. R.D., Wells.K.B., Rogers. W.H., Spritzer. K.L., Greenfield. S. (1994). Long term functioning and well being outcomes associated with physical activity and exercise in patients with chronic conditions in the medical outcome study. *Journal of clinical epidemiology* 1994, July; 47(7); 719-30.
- Ware.J.E. (1994). Hypertension - When is the clinical problem when *Quality of Life* secured. *Cardiology*, 85 Suppliment 1; 65-70.

THE MADRAS PSYCHOLOGY SOCIETY

Department of Psychology
University of Madras,
Chennai - 600 005. INDIA

The Madras Psychology Society was established as an academic body in 1944. It has members from all over the country, though its main activities are concentrated in Madras. It has fostered various academic activities like local, regional and All India Conferences, exhibitions, seminars and lecture meetings. In addition to these, it has been publishing two research journals, viz., Journal of Psychological Researches (1957) and Indian Journal of Applied Psychology (1964). It has published two books, Paper on Industrial Psychology (1962) and Concept of Mind : A Symposium (1979).

The Society has instituted gold medals, to be awarded to the candidates standing first in M.A. Examination in psychology and in Applied Psychology and an Annual Endowment Lectureship at the Madras University.

Membership of the Society is open to graduates in Psychology and (allied) disciplines. Members are entitled to receive Journal of Psychological Researches, issued thrice a year (January, May and September) OR Indian Journal of Applied Psychology, issued twice a year (January and May).

Membership Fee

Ordinary Membership :	Rs. 120 per annum
(January to December)	
Life Membership :	Rs. 500/-

Mode of payment: Only through Demand Draft in favour of, The Madras Psychology Society.

WRITE FOR MEMBERSHIP FORM AND OTHER INFORMATION.

**PSYCHOLOGY FOR EFFECTIVE LIVING - BEHAVIOUR
MODIFICATION, GUIDANCE, COUNSELLING AND
YOGA - 1997; AUTHORS:
V.D. SWAMINATHAN AND K.V. KALIAPPAN.**

The book covers (1) Introduction, (2) Assessment Techniques, 3. Behavioural Counseling, (4) Relaxation Techniques, (5) Systematic Desensitization (6) Assertion Training, (7) Operant Conditioning Techniques, (8) Cognitive Behaviour Modification, (9) Educational Guidance and (10) *Yoga and Pranayama as Therapeutic Technique. This book has been written for those who are keen on promoting peace in one's self and around, for effective living in this "technologically sophisticated world."

Price : Rs. 90.00

(Us. \$ 25.00)

Pages: 109

**YOGA AND CARDIAC HEALTH PROMOTION - 1997 ;
AUTHOR : LATHA.**

The book has 7 chapters, starting with (1) Introduction, (2) Cardiac Health Promotion, (3) Indian Model of Health and well-being, (4) Research Project, (5) Food and Health, (6) Yoga Asanas and Pranayama, (7) Research Findings. Case studies have been presented at the end of the 7th chapter. Live diagrams have been drawn in the appropriate places to explain the technical details. Best Book Award given by Swami Pranavananda Trust, Patna. for the year 1998.

Price : Rs. 90.00

(US \$ 25.00)

Pages: 98

Mode of Payment : Only through Demand Draft in favour of, The Madras Psychology Society

Journal no : 4
11 Page